SEX AND GENDER

9

THE SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF GENDER

JUDITH LORBER

alking about gender for most people is the equivalent of fish talking about water. Gender is so much the routine ground of everyday activities that questioning its taken-for-granted assumptions and presuppositions is like thinking about whether the sun will come up. Gender is so pervasive that in our society we assume it is bred into our genes. Most people find it hard to believe that gender is constantly created and re-created out of human interaction, out of social life, and is the texture and order of that

Judith Lorber, (1994). "Night to His Day: The Social Construction of Gender." From *Paradoxes of Gender*, Yale University Press, 1994, pp. 13–15, 32–36. Reprinted by permission of Yale University Press.

101

everyone constantly "doing gender" (West and Zimmerman 1987). social life. Yet gender, like culture, is a human production that depends on

subway, I saw a well-dressed man with a year-old child in a stroller. Yesteroff, I saw the little flowered sneakers and lace-trimmed socks. Not a boy afdark blue T-shirt and dark print pants. As they started to leave the train, the couldn't tell if it was a boy or a girl. The child in the stroller was wearing a noticed. The baby was wearing a white crocheted cap and white clothes. You silently. But there was more gendering going on that probably fewer people the role of fathers and the other passengers, who were applauding them at, approvingly. Everyone was doing gender—the men who were changing in New York City. But both men were quite obviously stared at—and smiled men taking care of small children in public is increasingly common-at least day, on a bus, I saw a man with a tiny baby in a carrier on his chest. Seeing ter all. Gender done. father put a Yankee baseball cap on the child's head. Ah, a boy, I thought. Then I noticed the gleam of tiny earrings in the child's ears, and as they got And everyone "does gender" without thinking about it. Today, on the

does any "normal" person. scribed for women or men-whichever they want to be taken for-and so gender status by dressing, speaking, walking, gesturing in the ways prehas had sex-change surgery). Transvestites and transsexuals construct their son who dresses in opposite-gender clothes) and transsexual (a person who society, in addition to man and woman, the status can be transvestite (a perother person in a gender status; otherwise, we feel socially dislocated. In our biguous. Then we are uncomfortable until we have successfully placed the ubiquitous that we usually fail to note them—unless they are missing or amact to pay attention to how it is produced. Gender signs and signals are so ate disruption of our expectations of how women and men are supposed to Gender is such a familiar part of daily life that it usually takes a deliber-

For the individual, gender construction starts with assignment to a sex category on the basis of what the genitalia look like at birth.² Then babies are genders work at different kinds of jobs. The work adults do as mothers and fathers and as low-level workers and high-level bosses, shapes women's and and desires and practices have been shaped by gendered norms and expecdoesn't come into play again until puberty, but by that time, sexual feelings can talk, they start to refer to themselves as members of their gender. Sex gender differently from those in the other, and the children respond to the difgender markers. Once a child's gender is evident, others treat those in one gory becomes a gender status through naming, dress, and the use of other want to be constantly asked whether their baby is a girl or a boy. A sex catedressed or adorned in a way that displays the category because parents don't different expectations for mothers and for fathers, and people of different orately scripted and gendered mating dance. Parenting is gendered, with tations. Adolescent boys and girls approach and avoid each other in an elabferent treatment by feeling different and behaving differently. As soon as they

> culine.³ All of these processes constitute the social construction of gender. sciousness, relationships, skills—ways of being that we call feminine or masmen's life experiences, and these experiences produce different feelings, con-

cial groups they seem to be blurring. Then why the one-year-old's earrings? cial groups are quite strict about maintaining gender differences, in other sogirls and boys are wearing unisex clothing and getting the same education, would, quite literally, have changed places in their social world. is not taken for a boy or he for a girl? What would happen if they were? They Why is it still so important to mark a child as a girl or a boy, to make sure she women and men are working at the same jobs. Although many traditional so-Gendered roles change—today fathers are taking care of little children,

and to carry out other responsibilities, every society uses gender and age membership in a category of people. Although societies vary in the extent to ments. The other way is on the basis of gender, race, ethnicity—ascribed of their talents, motivations, and competence—their demonstrated achieve-One way of choosing people for the different tasks of society is on the basis common values and their systematic transmission to new members, legitisigned responsibility for children and others who cannot care for themselves, on a predictable division of labor, a designated allocation of scarce goods, asmajor ways that human beings organize their lives. Human society depends at gender as a social institution. As a social institution, gender is one of the one, we have to look not only at the way individuals experience gender but members of these different groups become different kinds of people. The vations, and ambitions flow from these different life experiences so that the different roles and responsibilities. Personality characteristics, feelings, motisimilarities among them and differences between them, and assigns them to grades. Every society classifies people as "girl and boy children," "girls and which they use one or the other of these ways of allocating people to work mate leadership, music, art, stories, games, and other symbolic productions ence, and the society's entire set of values. boys ready to be married," and "fully adult women and men," constructs process of gendering and its outcome are legitimated by religion, law, sci-To explain why gendering is done from birth, constantly and by every-

AND STRUCTURE GENDER AS PROCESS, STRATIFICATION

cation system that ranks these statuses unequally, gender is a major building statuses for the assignment of rights and responsibilities. As part of a stratifiblock in the social structures built on these unequal statuses. As a social institution, gender is a process of creating distinguishable social

what is expected, see what is expected, act and react in expected ways, and and "man." In social interaction throughout their lives, individuals learn As a process, gender creates the social differences that define "woman"

sum, to signify a multiplicity of guarantees in response to a variety of differgood mother, to be a heterosexually desirable object, to be a fit worker, in have altered gender norms, but so far they have rarely eroded the statuses. status, or resisting or rebelling against these norms. Resistance and rebellion gender, behaving in the ways they learned were appropriate for their gender what was done before. In almost every encounter, human beings produce ther make up gender as they go along nor exactly replicate in rote fashion ent demands all at once" (J. Butler 1990, 145). Members of a social group neijunction to be given gender takes place through discursive routes: to be a thus simultaneously construct and maintain the gender order: "The very in-

too far from socially imposed standards for women and men. ment or threat of punishment by those in authority should behavior deviate sanctions of gender-inappropriate behavior by peers and by formal punishadulthood. Gendered norms and expectations are enforced through informal sexuality, parenting, and work behaviors in childhood, adolescence, and Gendered patterns of interaction acquire additional layers of gendered

groups but also for institutionalized change (J. W. Scott 1988, 7). room not only for modification and variation by individuals and small gender expectations for individuals. Because gender is a process, there is process, and other organizations and institutions, which in turn reinforce Everyday gendered interactions build gender into the family, the work

that separates it from Not-A" (45). From the individual's point of view, whichever gender is A, the other is Not-A; gender boundaries tell the indisame race and class. Women and men could be different but equal. In prac-"man" is A, "wo-man" is Not-A. (Consider what a society would be like inant, and the other is different, deviant, and subordinate. In Western society, of view, however, one gender is usually the touchstone, the normal, the domvidual who is like him or her, and all the rest are unlike. From society's point dom catchall, to which nothing is external except A and the principle of order out, isolated from all else is A and pure. Not-A is necessarily impure, a rantial evaluation. As Nancy Jay (1981) says: "That which is defined, separated tice, the process of creating difference depends to a great extent on differenwhere woman was A and man Not-A.) As part of a stratification system, gender ranks men above women of the

a heterogeneous society's stratification scheme. Thus, in the United States, of a series of these dichotomies converge" (P. H. Collins 1990, 70). The domiwhite is A, African American is Not-A; middle class is A, working class is Not-Other as that which lacks the valuable qualities the dominants exhibit. class, or men as a gender. The characteristics of these categories define the should be that white is not ordinarily thought of as a race, middle class as a nant categories are the hegemonic ideals, taken so for granted as the way things A, and "African-American women occupy a position whereby the inferior half The further dichotomization by race and class constructs the gradations of

than what women do because men do it, even when their activities are very In a gender-stratified society, what men do is usually valued more highly

> the women's foraging trips, but when the men's hunt is successful, it is the survival usually depends on the nuts, grubs, and small animals brought in by considered difficult" (Mencher 1988, 104). A gathering and hunting society's is done by women it is considered easy, and where it is done by [men] it is vesting rice is men's work, shared work, or women's work: "Wherever a task similar or the same. In different regions of southern India, for example, harinferior group does it, usually poor women of color (Palmer 1989). white men do not have to do the "dirty work," such as housework; the most occasion for a celebration. Conversely, because they are the superior group

shaped and usually ritualistic and symbolic (Gilmore 1990). ity may be the outcome of boys' intrapsychic struggles to separate their idenformation and disparagement of women" (Chodorow 1978, 165). Masculinthe major goal is the achievement of personal masculine identification with ers and deny the feminine in themselves in order to become men: "For boys tity from that of their mothers, but the proofs of masculinity are culturally their father and sense of secure masculine self, achieved through superego Freudian psychoanalytic theory claims that boys must reject their moth-

army of labor. Unionized men who could easily be replaced by women colnological skills, bosses preserve them as a cheap and exploitable reserve distance from women" (Cockburn 1985, 13). second is the continual creation of sub-divisions in the work processes, and logical occupations. One is the active gendering of jobs and people. The ing men maintain their separation from women and their control of technomore interesting, and more autonomous jobs: "Two factors emerge as helplude in this process because it allows them to monopolize the better-paid meaning women's abilities and keeping them from learning valuable techlevels in work hierarchies, into which men can move in order to keep their The Marxist feminist explanation for gender inequality is that by de-

women and men members, but where there is inequality, the status "woman" and occupational status (Almquist 1987). more nearly equal, and the women may even outstrip the men in education working-class African Americans in the United States), women and men are men are advantaged over women. The more economic resources, such as edvored groups command more power, more prestige, and more property than tion, class, country of origin, and so on-men and women members of the faother constructed statuses of differential evaluation—race, religion, occupateem than the status "man." Since gender is also intertwined with a society's (and its attendant behavior and role allocations) is usually held in lesser esbe monopolized by men. In poorer groups that have few resources (such as ucation and job opportunities, are available to a group, the more they tend to the members of the disfavored groups. Within many social groups, however, Societies vary in the extent of the inequality in social status of their

duction, legitimates those in authority, and organizes sexuality and emotional life (Connell 1987, 91–142). As primary parents, women significantly As a structure, gender divides work in the home and in economic pro-

influence children's psychological development and emotional attachments, in the process reproducing gender. Emergent sexuality is shaped by heterosexual, homosexual, bisexual, and sadomasochistic patterns that are gendered—different for girls and boys, and for women and men—so that sexual statuses reflect gender statuses.

When gender is a major component of structured inequality, the devalued genders have less power, prestige, and economic rewards than the valued genders. In countries that discourage gender discrimination, many major roles are still gendered; women still do most of the domestic labor and child rearing, even while doing full-time paid work; women and men are segregated on the job and each does work considered "appropriate"; women's work is usually paid less than men's work. Men dominate the positions of authority and leadership in government, the military, and the law; cultural productions, religions, and sports reflect men's interests.

In societies that create the greatest gender difference, such as Saudi Arabia, women are kept out of sight behind walls or veils, have no civil rights, and often create a cultural and emotional world of their own (Bernard 1981). But even in societies with less rigid gender boundaries, women and men spend much of their time with people of their own gender because of the way work and family are organized. This spatial separation of women and men reinforces gendered differences, identity, and ways of thinking and behaving (Coser 1986).

Gender inequality—the devaluation of "women" and the social domination of "men"—has social functions and social history. It is not the result of sex, procreation, physiology, anatomy, hormones, or genetic predispositions. It is produced and maintained by identifiable social processes and built into the general social structure and individual identities deliberately and purposefully. The social order as we know it in Western societies is organized around racial, ethnic, class, and gender inequality. I contend, therefore, that the continuing purpose of gender as a modern social institution is to construct women as a group to be the subordinates of men as a group.

THE PARADOX OF HUMAN NATURE

To say that sex, sexuality, and gender are all socially constructed is not to minimize their social power. These categorical imperatives govern our lives in the most profound and pervasive ways, through the social experiences and social practices of what Dorothy Smith calls the "everday/evernight world" (1990, 31–57). The paradox of human nature is that it is *always* a manifestation of cultural meanings, social relationships, and power politics; "not biology, but culture, becomes destiny" (J. Butler 1990, 8). Gendered people emerge not from physiology or sexual orientations but from the exigencies of the social order, mostly from the need for a reliable division of the work of food production and the social (not physical) reproduction of new members. The

moral imperatives of religion and cultural representations guard the boundary lines among genders and ensure that what is demanded, what is permitted, and what is tabooed for the people in each gender is well known and followed by most (C. Davies 1982). Political power, control of scarce resources, and, if necessary, violence uphold the gendered social order in the face of resistance and rebellion. Most people, however, voluntarily go along with their society's prescriptions for those of their gender status, because the norms and expectations get built into their sense of worth and identity as [the way we] think, the way we see and hear and speak, the way we fantasy, and the way we feel.

There is no core or bedrock in human nature below these endlessly looping processes of the social production of sex and gender, self and other, identity and psyche, each of which is a "complex cultural construction" (J. Butler 1990, 36). For humans, the social is the natural. Therefore, "in its feminist senses, gender cannot mean simply the cultural appropriation of biological sexual difference. Sexual difference is itself a fundamental—and scientifically contested—construction. Both 'sex' and 'gender' are woven of multiple, asymmetrical strands of difference, charged with multifaceted dramatic narratives of domination and struggle" (Haraway 1990, 140).

NOIE

- 1. Gender is, in Erving Goffman's words, an aspect of Felicity's Condition: "any arrangement which leads us to judge an individual's . . . acts not to be a manifestation of strangeness. Behind Felicity's Condition is our sense of what it is to be sane" (1983:27). Also see Bem 1993; Frye 1983, 17–40; Goffman 1977.
- 2. In cases of ambiguity in countries with modern medicine, surgery is usually performed to make the genitalia more clearly male or female.
- 3. See J. Butler 1990 for an analysis of how doing gender is gender identity.
- 4. On the "logic of practice," or how the experience of gender is embedded in the norms of everyday interaction and the structure of formal organizations, see Acker 1990; Bourdieu [1980] 1990; Connell 1987; Smith 1987.

REFERENCES

- Acker, Joan. 1990. "Hierarchies, jobs, and bodies: A theory of gendered organizations," Gender & Society 4: 139–158.
- Almquist, Elizabeth M. 1987. "Labor market gendered inequality in minority groups," Gender & Society 1: 400–14.
- Bem, Sandara Lipsitz. 1993. The Lenses of Gender: Transforming the Debate on Sexual Inequality. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Bernard, Jessie. 1981. The Female World. New York: Free Press.
- Bourdieu, Pierre. [1980] 1990. The Logic of Practice. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press.
- Butler, Judith. 1990. Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity. New York and London: Routledge.